

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
8  
9

10  
11 IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE  
12 ANTITRUST LITIGATION  
13  
14  
15

Case No.: C-10-02143 RS (JCS)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
PROTECTIVE ORDER**

16 The parties filed a joint letter brief in which Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs (“DPPs”) moved for a  
17 protective order to preclude Sony Defendants from taking the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of named  
18 DPPs Meijer, Inc. and Meijer Distribution, Inc. (“Meijer”) on Topic 9 concerning Meijer’s  
19 downstream sales of ODDs and ODD Products (“Topic 9”). Good cause appearing, the motion is  
20 GRANTED.

21 The information covered by Topic 9 is not relevant to the claims raised by the DPPs.  
22 Whatever minimal relevance it may have to claims made by indirect purchasers, these direct  
23 purchasers are not a party to those claims. The burden on the DPPs of producing a 30(b)(6) witness  
24 on this broad topic substantially outweighs any minimal relevance.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: July 19, 2013

27  
28   
JOSEPH C. SPERO  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE